

A Computational Viewpoint on Classical Density Functional Theory

Matthew Knepley and Dirk Gillespie

Computation Institute
University of Chicago

Department of Molecular Biology and Physiology
Rush University Medical Center

Earth Science & Engineering Seminar
Imperial College London, UK October 10, 2014




Outline

- 1 CDFT Intro
- 2 Model
- 3 Verification

What is CDFT?

A fast, accurate theoretical tool to understand the fundamental physics of inhomogeneous fluids



What is CDFT?

For concentration $\rho_i(\vec{x})$ of species i , solve

$$\min_{\rho_i(\vec{x})} \Omega[\{\rho_i(\vec{x})\}]$$

where Ω is the free energy.

What is CDFT?

For concentration $\rho_i(\vec{x})$ of species i , solve

$$\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\rho_i(\vec{x})} = 0$$

which are the Euler-Lagrange equations.

What is CDFT?

DFT

- Computes ensemble-averaged quantities directly
- Can have physical resolution in time (μs) and space (\AA)
- Requires an accurate Ω
- Requires sophisticated solver technology
- **Can predict experimental results!**

For example,

D. Gillespie, L. Xu, Y. Wang, and G. Meissner,
J. Phys. Chem. B 109, 15598, 2005

Outline

1 CDFT Intro

2 Model

- Hard Sphere Repulsion
- Bulk Fluid Electrostatics
- Reference Fluid Density Electrostatics

3 Verification

Equilibrium

$$\rho_i(\vec{x}) = \exp\left(\frac{\mu_i^{\text{bath}} - \mu_i^{\text{ext}}(\vec{x}) - \mu_i^{\text{ex}}(\vec{x})}{kT}\right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i^{\text{ex}}(\vec{x}) &= \mu_i^{\text{HS}}(\vec{x}) + \mu_i^{\text{ES}}(\vec{x}) \\ &= \mu_i^{\text{HS}}(\vec{x}) + \mu_i^{\text{SC}}(\vec{x}) + z_i e \phi(\vec{x})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$-\epsilon \Delta \phi(\vec{x}) = e \sum_i \rho_i(\vec{x})$$

Details

The theory and implementation are detailed in

Knepley, Karpeev, Davidovits, Eisenberg, Gillespie,
**An Efficient Algorithm for Classical Density Functional
Theory in Three Dimensions: Ionic Solutions,**
JCP, 2012.

Outline

2 Model

- **Hard Sphere Repulsion**
- Bulk Fluid Electrostatics
- Reference Fluid Density Electrostatics

Hard Spheres (Rosenfeld)

$$\mu_i^{\text{HS}}(\vec{x}) = kT \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{\partial \Phi^{\text{HS}}}{\partial n_{\alpha}}(n_{\alpha}(\vec{x}')) \omega_i^{\alpha}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') d^3 x'$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\text{HS}}(n_{\alpha}(\vec{x}')) &= -n_0 \ln(1 - n_3) + \frac{n_1 n_2 - \vec{n}_{V1} \cdot \vec{n}_{V2}}{1 - n_3} \\ &+ \frac{n_2^3}{24\pi(1 - n_3)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\vec{n}_{V2} \cdot \vec{n}_{V2}}{n_2^2} \right)^3 \end{aligned}$$

Hard Sphere Basis

$$n_\alpha(\vec{x}) = \sum_i \int \rho_i(\vec{x}') \omega_i^\alpha(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') d^3x'$$

where

$$\omega_i^0(\vec{r}) = \frac{\omega_i^2(\vec{r})}{4\pi R_i^2}$$

$$\omega_i^1(\vec{r}) = \frac{\omega_i^2(\vec{r})}{4\pi R_i}$$

$$\omega_i^2(\vec{r}) = \delta(|\vec{r}| - R_i)$$

$$\omega_i^3(\vec{r}) = \theta(|\vec{r}| - R_i)$$

$$\vec{\omega}_i^{V1}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\vec{\omega}_i^{V2}(\vec{r})}{4\pi R_i}$$

$$\vec{\omega}_i^{V2}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|} \delta(|\vec{r}| - R_i)$$

Hard Sphere Basis

All n_α integrals may be cast as convolutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_\alpha(\vec{x}) &= \sum_i \int \rho_i(\vec{x}') \omega_i^\alpha(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}) d^3x' \\
 &= \sum_i \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\rho_i) \cdot \mathcal{F}(\omega_i^\alpha)) \\
 &= \sum_i \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\rho}_i \cdot \hat{\omega}_i^\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\mu_i^{\text{HS}}(\vec{x}) = kT \sum_\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}^{\text{HS}}}{\partial n_\alpha} \cdot \hat{\omega}_i^\alpha \right)$$

Hard Sphere Basis

Spectral Quadrature

There is a fly in the ointment:

- standard quadrature for ω^α is very inaccurate ($\mathcal{O}(1)$ errors),
- and destroys conservation properties, e.g. total mass

We can use **spectral quadrature** for accurate evaluation,

- combining FFT of density, $\hat{\rho}_i$,
- with **analytic FT** of weight functions.

Hard Sphere Basis

Spectral Quadrature

There is a fly in the ointment:

- standard quadrature for ω^α is very inaccurate ($\mathcal{O}(1)$ errors),
- and destroys conservation properties, e.g. total mass

We can use [spectral quadrature](#) for accurate evaluation,

- combining FFT of density, $\hat{\rho}_i$,
- with [analytic FT](#) of weight functions.

Hard Sphere Basis

Spectral Quadrature

$$\hat{\omega}_i^0(\vec{k}) = \frac{\hat{\omega}_i^2(\vec{k})}{4\pi R_i^2}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_i^2(\vec{k}) = \frac{4\pi R_i \sin(R_i |\vec{k}|)}{|\vec{k}|}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_i^{V1}(\vec{k}) = \frac{\hat{\omega}_i^{V2}(\vec{k})}{4\pi R_i}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_i^1(\vec{k}) = \frac{\hat{\omega}_i^2(\vec{k})}{4\pi R_i}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_i^3(\vec{k}) = \frac{4\pi}{|\vec{k}|^3} \left(\sin(R_i |\vec{k}|) - R_i |\vec{k}| \cos(R_i |\vec{k}|) \right)$$

$$\hat{\omega}_i^{V2}(\vec{k}) = \frac{-4\pi i}{|\vec{k}|^2} \left(\sin(R_i |\vec{k}|) - R_i |\vec{k}| \cos(R_i |\vec{k}|) \right)$$

Hard Sphere Basis

Numerical Stability

Recall that

$$\Phi^{\text{HS}}(n_\alpha(\vec{x}')) = \dots + \frac{n_2^3}{24\pi(1 - n_3)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\vec{n}_{V2} \cdot \vec{n}_{V2}}{n_2^2} \right)^3$$

and note that we have analytically

$$\frac{|n^{V2}(x)|^2}{|n^2(x)|^2} \leq 1.$$

However, discretization errors in ρ_i near sharp geometric features can produce large values for this term, which prevent convergence of the nonlinear solver. Thus we **explicitly** enforce this bound.

Outline

2 Model

- Hard Sphere Repulsion
- **Bulk Fluid Electrostatics**
- Reference Fluid Density Electrostatics

Bulk Fluid (BF) Electrostatics

$$\mu_i^{\text{SC}} = \mu_i^{\text{ES,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'| \leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta \rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3 x'$$

Using $\lambda_k = R_k + \frac{1}{2\Gamma}$, where Γ is the MSA screening parameter, we have

$$c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \frac{z_i z_j e^2}{8\pi\epsilon} \left(\frac{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}{2\lambda_i \lambda_j} - \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} + \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \left(\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{2\lambda_i \lambda_j} + 2 \right) \right)$$

Bulk Fluid (BF) Electrostatics

$$\mu_i^{\text{SC}} = \mu_i^{\text{ES,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|\leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta\rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

It's a convolution too!

Bulk Fluid (BF) Electrostatics

$$\mu_i^{\text{SC}} = \mu_i^{\text{ES,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|\leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta\rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta\rho_j) = \mathcal{F}(\rho_j - \rho_{\text{bath}}) = \mathcal{F}(\rho_j) - \mathcal{F}(\rho_{\text{bath}})$$

- $\mathcal{F}(\rho_j)$ was already calculated
- $\mathcal{F}(\rho_{\text{bath}})$ is constant
- $\mathcal{F}\left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')\right)$ is constant

so we only calculate the inverse transform on each iteration.

Bulk Fluid (BF) Electrostatics

$$\mu_i^{\text{SC}} = \mu_i^{\text{ES,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'| \leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta \rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3 x'$$

FFT is also inaccurate!

Bulk Fluid (BF) Electrostatics

$$\mu_i^{\text{SC}} = \mu_i^{\text{ES,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|\leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta\rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

$$\hat{c}_{ij}^{(2)} + \hat{\psi}_{ij} = \frac{z_i z_j e^2}{\epsilon |\vec{k}|} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda_i \lambda_j} I_1 - \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} I_0 + \left(\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{2\lambda_i \lambda_j} + 2 \right) I_{-1} \right)$$

where

$$I_{-1} = \frac{1}{|\vec{k}|} \left(1 - \cos(|\vec{k}|R) \right)$$

$$I_0 = -\frac{R}{|\vec{k}|} \cos(|\vec{k}|R) + \frac{1}{|\vec{k}|^2} \sin(|\vec{k}|R)$$

$$I_1 = -\frac{R^2}{|\vec{k}|} \cos(|\vec{k}|R) + 2\frac{R}{|\vec{k}|^2} \sin(|\vec{k}|R) - \frac{2}{|\vec{k}|^3} \left(1 - \cos(|\vec{k}|R) \right)$$

Outline

2 Model

- Hard Sphere Repulsion
- Bulk Fluid Electrostatics
- Reference Fluid Density Electrostatics

Reference Fluid Density (RFD) Electrostatics

Expand around $\rho_i^{\text{ref}}(\vec{x})$, an inhomogeneous reference density profile:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^{\text{SC}}[\{\rho_k(\vec{y})\}] &\approx \mu_i^{\text{SC}}[\{\rho_k^{\text{ref}}(\vec{y})\}] \\ &- kT \sum_i \int \mathbf{c}_i^{(1)}[\{\rho_k^{\text{ref}}(\vec{y})\}; \vec{x}] \Delta\rho_i(\vec{x}) d^3x \\ &- \frac{kT}{2} \sum_{i,j} \iint \mathbf{c}_{ij}^{(2)}[\{\rho_k^{\text{ref}}(\vec{y})\}; \vec{x}, \vec{x}'] \Delta\rho_i(\vec{x}) \Delta\rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3x d^3x' \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Delta\rho_i(\vec{x}) = \rho_i(\vec{x}) - \rho_i^{\text{ref}}(\vec{x})$$

Reference Fluid Density (RFD) Electrostatics

$$\rho_i^{\text{ref}} [\{\rho_k(\vec{x}')\}; \vec{x}] = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{\text{SC}}^3(\vec{x})} \int_{|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}| \leq R_{\text{SC}}(\vec{x})} \alpha_i(\vec{x}') \rho_i(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

Choose α_i so that the reference density is

- charge neutral, and
- has the same ionic strength as ρ_i

This can model gradient flow

Reference Fluid Density (RFD) Electrostatics

$$\rho_i^{\text{ref}} [\{\rho_k(\vec{x}')\}; \vec{x}] = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{SC}^3(\vec{x})} \int_{|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}| \leq R_{SC}(\vec{x})} \alpha_i(\vec{x}') \rho_i(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

Choose α_i so that the reference density is

- charge neutral, and
- has the same ionic strength as ρ_i

This can model gradient flow

Reference Fluid Density (RFD) Electrostatics

$$\rho_i^{\text{ref}} [\{\rho_k(\vec{x}')\}; \vec{x}] = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{SC}^3(\vec{x})} \int_{|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}| \leq R_{SC}(\vec{x})} \alpha_i(\vec{x}') \rho_i(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

Choose α_i so that the reference density is

- charge neutral, and
- has the same ionic strength as ρ_i

This can model gradient flow

Reference Fluid Density (RFD) Electrostatics

We can rewrite this expression as an averaging operation:

$$\rho^{ref}(\vec{x}) = \int \rho(\vec{x}') \frac{\theta(|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}| - R_{SC}(\vec{x}))}{\frac{4\pi}{3} R_{SC}^3(\vec{x})} dx'$$

where

$$R_{SC}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\sum_i \tilde{\rho}_i(\vec{x}) R_i}{\sum_i \tilde{\rho}_i(\vec{x})} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\vec{x})}$$

We close the system using

$$\Gamma_{SC}[\rho](\vec{x}) = \Gamma_{MSA}[\rho^{ref}(\rho)](\vec{x}).$$

Reference Fluid Density (RFD) Electrostatics

We can rewrite this expression as an averaging operation:

$$\rho^{\text{ref}}(\vec{x}) = \int \rho(\vec{x}') \frac{\theta(|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}| - R_{\text{SC}}(\vec{x}))}{\frac{4\pi}{3} R_{\text{SC}}^3(\vec{x})} dx'$$

where

$$R_{\text{SC}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\sum_i \tilde{\rho}_i(\vec{x}) R_i}{\sum_i \tilde{\rho}_i(\vec{x})} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\vec{x})}$$

We close the system using

$$\Gamma_{\text{SC}}[\rho](\vec{x}) = \Gamma_{\text{MSA}}[\rho^{\text{ref}}(\rho)](\vec{x}).$$

Outline

- 1 CDFT Intro
- 2 Model
- 3 Verification**

Consistency checks

- Check n_α of constant density against analytics
- Check that n_3 is the combined volume fraction
- Check that wall solution has only 1D variation

Sum Rule Verification

Hard Spheres

$$\beta P_{\text{bath}}^{HS} = \sum_i \rho_i(R_i)$$

where

$$P_{\text{bath}}^{HS} = \frac{6kT}{\pi} \left(\frac{\xi_0}{\Delta} + \frac{3\xi_1\xi_2}{\Delta^2} + \frac{3\xi_2^3}{\Delta^3} \right)$$

using auxiliary variables

$$\xi_n = \frac{\pi}{6} \sum_j \rho_j^{\text{bath}} \sigma_j^n \quad n \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$$


$$\Delta = 1 - \xi_3$$

Sum Rule Verification

Hard Spheres

Relative accuracy and Simulation time for $R = 0.1$ nm

Sum Rule Verification against Hard Wall




Sum Rule Verification

Hard Spheres

Volume fraction ranges from 10^{-5} to 0.4 (very difficult for MC/MD)

Sum Rule Verification against Hard Wall



Ionic Fluid Verification

Charged Hard Spheres

R_{cation}	0.1nm
R_{anion}	0.2125nm
Concentration	1M
Domain	$2 \times 2 \times 6 \text{ nm}^3$ and periodic
Uncharged hard wall	$z = 0$
Grid	$21 \times 21 \times 161$

Ionic Fluid Verification


Charged Hard Spheres

R_{cation}	0.1nm
R_{anion}	0.2125nm
Concentration	1M
Domain	$2 \times 2 \times 6 \text{ nm}^3$ and periodic
Uncharged hard wall	$z = 0$
Grid	$21 \times 21 \times 161$

Ionic Fluid Verification

Charged Hard Spheres


Cation Concentrations for 1M concentration
Cation Density



Ionic Fluid Verification

Charged Hard Spheres

Anion Concentrations for 1M concentration
Anion Density




Ionic Fluid Verification

Charged Hard Spheres

Mean Electrostatic Potential for 1M concentration

Electrostatic Potential



Ionic Fluid Verification

Charged Hard Spheres

These results were first reported in 1D in
**Density functional theory of the electrical double
layer: the RFD functional,**
J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, 6609, 2005.

Main Points

Real Space vs. Fourier Space

- $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ vs. $\mathcal{O}(N \lg N)$
- Accurate quadrature only available in Fourier space

Electrostatics

- Bulk Fluid (BF) model can be qualitatively wrong
- Reference Fluid Density (RFD) model demands complex algorithm

Solver convergence

- Picard was more robust
- Newton rarely entered the quadratic regime
- Still no multilevel alternative (interpolation?)

Main Points

Real Space vs. Fourier Space

- $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ vs. $\mathcal{O}(N \lg N)$
- Accurate quadrature only available in Fourier space

Electrostatics

- Bulk Fluid (BF) model can be qualitatively wrong
- Reference Fluid Density (RFD) model demands complex algorithm

Solver convergence

- Picard was more robust
- Newton rarely entered the quadratic regime
- Still no multilevel alternative (interpolation?)

Main Points

Real Space vs. Fourier Space

- $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ vs. $\mathcal{O}(N \lg N)$
- Accurate quadrature only available in Fourier space

Electrostatics

- Bulk Fluid (BF) model can be qualitatively wrong
- Reference Fluid Density (RFD) model demands complex algorithm

Solver convergence


- Picard was more robust
- Newton rarely entered the quadratic regime
- Still no multilevel alternative (interpolation?)

Conclusion

The theory and implementation are detailed in

An Efficient Algorithm for Classical Density Functional Theory in Three Dimensions: Ionic Solutions, JCP, 2012.

Potassium Density in a Calcium Channel




Conclusion

The theory and implementation are detailed in

An Efficient Algorithm for Classical Density Functional Theory in Three Dimensions: Ionic Solutions, JCP, 2012.

Hardsphere Chemical Potential (bath subtracted) for Potassium



Hydrodynamics

Recall that for electrostatics, we have

$$\mu_i^{\text{SC}} = \mu_i^{\text{ES,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|\leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta \rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3 x'$$

where

$$c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \frac{z_i z_j e^2}{8\pi\epsilon} \left(\frac{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}{2\lambda_i \lambda_j} - \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} + \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \left(\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{2\lambda_i \lambda_j} + 2 \right) \right)$$

for the interaction kernel

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}$$

Hydrodynamics

A similar expression for hydrodynamics would have the same form

$$\mu_i^{\text{HSC}} = \mu_i^{\text{HD,bath}} - \sum_j \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|\leq R_{ij}} \left(c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \right) \Delta\rho_j(\vec{x}') d^3x'$$

where now

$$c_{ij}^{(2)}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \psi_{ij}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_k \frac{C_k(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^k}$$

for the interaction kernel

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \left(1 + \frac{\vec{x}\vec{x}'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^2} \right)$$